

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/897,804	09/897,804 07/05/2001		Shi-Yue Qiu	P 0279249 PAT-002	2543	
909	7590	10/21/2004		EXAMINER		
		THROP, LLP	HOGAN, MARY C			
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2123	2123	
				DATE MAILED: 10/21/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>			0.1		
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	16 a		
Office Action Summary		09/897,804	QIU, SHI-YUE	·		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mary C Hogan	2123			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ad	ldress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repoper of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, no oly within the statutory minimum I will apply and will expire SIX (6 te, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timel ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this c me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11-1	<u>18-02</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideratior				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.				
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b)□ objecte	d to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)[2]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the atta	iched Office Action or form P	10-152.		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received nts have been received ority documents have b au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	. in Application No been received in this National	Stage		
Attachmen	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Inter	view Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	Pape 5) Notic 6) Other	r No(s)/Mail Date  e of Informal Patent Application (PTor:)	O-152)		

S. Patent and Trademark Office FOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Application/Control Number: 09/897,804 Page 2

Art Unit: 2123

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This application has been examined.

2. Claims 1-10 have been examined and rejected.

#### Oath/Declaration

3. The Oath/Declaration is objected to for the following reasons. It is noted that the application claims priority of prior US provisional applications. However, there is no claim for priority on the Oath/Declaration. A new Oath/Declaration is requested with the application number and filing date of priority documents are listed.

#### **Double Patenting**

- 4. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).
- 5. A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.
- 6. Claims 1-10 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of Claims 1-10 of copending Application No. 09902094. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

#### **Drawings**

7. The subject matter of this application admits of illustration by a drawing to facilitate understanding of the invention, and in particular, refers to Figures 1-13. Applicant is required to furnish a drawing under 37 CFR 1.81. No new matter may be introduced in the required drawing.

.

Art Unit: 2123

## Claim Objections

8. Claims 6 and 10 are objected to because of the following. Appropriate correction is required.

9. Claims 6 and 10 state the following: "translating the threshold for the variable to corresponding residual threshold for the residual of the variable", however, the meaning of this claim is unclear from the claim language and the specification, specifically, referring to the word "translating".

## Claim Interpretation

10. Claims 6 and 10 state the following: "translating the threshold for the variable to corresponding residual threshold for the residual of the variable", however, the meaning of this claim is unclear from the claim language and the specification. This claim was interpreted to mean that the calculation of the threshold value for a variable includes the computation of a residual value.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 12. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Husseiny (U.S. Patent Number 5,210,704), herein referred to as **Husseiny**.
- 13. As to **Claim 1**, **Husseiny** teaches: a system for early warning in an e-service management system, comprising:

a statistical learning mechanism for performing statistical learning based on a plurality of data values of a variable to generate a statistical model characterizing the behavior of the variable (column 10, lines 65-column 11, line 8, column 17, lines 22-31, "knowledge based model");

an early warning mechanism for generating an early warning of threshold violation of the variable with respect to a threshold by predicting, based on the statistical model, a future time by which the values of the variable exceeds the threshold (column 11, lines 44-52, column 18, lines 10-19, column 19, lines 11-13, column 22, lines 19-26); and

an operational mechanism for detecting abnormal behavior of the variable based on

Application/Control Number: 09/897,804

Art Unit: 2123

both the statistical model and the early warning (column 12, lines 46-53).

14. As to Claims 2,4 and 8, Husseiny teaches: the system according to claim 1, wherein the statistical learning mechanism comprises:

an offline normal behavior modeling mechanism for modeling the regular behavior of the variable based on the plurality of values of the variable collected offline over a period of time (column 4, lines 54-58, column 17, lines 57-60); and

an online behavior modeling mechanism for modeling the dynamic behavior of the variable based on a plurality of values of the variable collected online during the operations performed by the operational mechanism (column 4, lines 42-47, column 18, lines 23-25).

15. As to Claims 3 and 7, Husseiny teaches: a method for early warning in an e-service management system, comprising:

modeling the behavior of a variable based on a plurality of data values of the variable collected over a period of time, said modeling being performed based on the statistical properties of the data values of the variable to generate a behavior model for the variable, the behavior model being represented using a plurality of model parameters (column 4, lines 54-58, column 17, lines 57-60);

generating an early warning for a threshold violation of the variable with respect to a threshold based on a plurality of data values of the variable collected online and the behavior model (column 18, lines 10-16, 23-27, 39-41, 45-49, column 19, lines 11-13);

detecting abnormal behavior of the variable according to the plurality of data values of the variable collected online and the early warning (column 18, lines 45-49).

16. As to **Claims 5 and 9**, **Husseiny** teaches: the method according to claim 3, wherein generating an early warning comprises:

computing a plurality of residuals at corresponding different time reference points in the future based on the model parameters (column 12, lines 6-9, column 20, lines 21-28);

deriving the variances of the plurality of residuals, predicted by said predicting (column 12, lines 6-9, column 20, lines 21-28);

estimating the probabilities for threshold violation of the variable with respect to said threshold at the corresponding different time reference points in the future (column 18, lines 39-41, wherein the ratio of the new incipient failure index to the incipient failure index computes the probability of a threshold violation); and

issuing an early warning for any of the time reference points at which the probability

Application/Control Number: 09/897,804

Art Unit: 2123

for threshold violation of the variable exceeds a pre-determined value (column 18, lines 45-53, column 19, lines 11-13).

17. As to Claims 6 and 10, Husseiny teaches: the method according to claim 5, wherein the estimating the probabilities comprises:

translating the threshold for the variable to corresponding residual threshold for the residual of the variable (column 18, lines 23-27, column 20, lines 14-27, wherein the time series analysis is used to produce the new index, IFI for the new signals measured from sensors, and this time series analysis includes the calculation of the residual and the variance of the residual (see claim interpretation above));

calculating the probabilities for threshold violation of the residual with respect to the residual threshold at the corresponding different time reference points in the future (column 18, lines 39-41, wherein the ratio of the new incipient failure index to the incipient failure index computes the probability of a threshold violation, and the index calculation through time series analysis included the computation of a residual).

#### Conclusion

- 18. The prior art made of record, see PTO 892, and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure, careful consideration must be given prior to Applicant's response to this Office Action.
- 19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary C Hogan whose telephone number is 703-305-7838 until 10/28/04 or 571-272-3712 after 10/28/04. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM-5PM Monday-Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Teska can be reached on 703-305-9704. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/897,804

Art Unit: 2123

Examiner

Art Unit 2123

With the state of the state of